

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. However, the Examiner has kindly pointed out that claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended appropriately to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.

Responsive to this, specification and claims 1-5 have been amended so as to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, by following the suggestions kindly provided by the Examiner. Accordingly, by the amendments made herein, it is believed that the objection to the Specification should be withdrawn, and the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, should also be withdrawn, and the amended claims 1-5 should be allowable.

After the amendment to the claim, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that the amended claims 1-5 are in a condition for allowance and requests a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

**This Amendment has been prepared by Applicant and is being
submitted by the undersigned attorney on Applicant's behalf.**

Respectfully submitted,



David I. Klein

Reg. No: 33, 253

Dated: 21 Aug. 2003

3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Suite 101
Ellicott City, Maryland 21043
(410) 465-6678



04586

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE